UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/553,754	10/18/2005	Makoto Iida	125664	5979	
25944 OLIFF & RFF	7590 09/20/2007		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 199	OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			MALEKZADEH, SEYED MASOUD	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1722	1722		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Office Action Summary	10/553,754	IIDA, MAKOTO		
	omee Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	The MAN INC DATE And	SEYED MALEKZADEH	1722		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF THE MONTHS FROM	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	lune 2007.			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 10-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 10-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• • •		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been reule (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage		
Attachmen	nt(s)		•		
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application _		

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claims 10-27 are pending.

Claim 28 is cancelled.

In view of the amendment, filed on June 28, 2007 following rejections/ objections are withdrawn from the previous office action mailed on 03/30/2007 for the reason of record.

- Objection of specification
- Rejection of claim 28 under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Iida
 et al (US 6,334,896) because claim 28 has been cancelled

In view of the amendment, filed on June 28, 2007 following rejections/ objections are maintained from the previous office action mailed on 03/30/2007 for the reason of record. The basis of these rejections are the same as given in previous office action.

Rejection of claims 10-27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable
 over lida et al (US 6,334,896) in view of Fujikawa et al. (US 5,685,907)

Response to argument

Applicant's arguments filed on June 28, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that neither lida et al. (US 6,334,896) nor Fujikawa et al. (US 5,685,907) teach pulling a seed crystal from a raw material melt, wherein when a pulling rate of pulling a single crystal is defined as V (mm/min), a temperature gradient at a solid-liquid interface is defined as G (K/mm) and a highest temperature at an interface between a crucible and a raw material melt is defined as Tmax (°C), at least, a range of a value of V/G $(mm^2/K.min)$ including a desired defect region and/or a desired defect-free region is determined according to the T_{max} (°C), as recited in claim 10.

This is not found persuasive because applicants' attention is drawn to the point that lida et al. (US 6,334,896) or Fujikawa et al. (US 5,685,907) has not been used alone. Combined teaching of lida et al. ('896) and Fujikawa et al. ('907) clearly teach a method for producing a single crystal by Czochralski method with pulling a seed crystal from a raw material melt, wherein when a pulling rate of pulling a single crystal is defined as V (mm/min), a temperature gradient at a solid-liquid interface is defined as G (K/mm) and a highest temperature at an interface between a crucible and a raw material melt is defined as $T_{\text{max}}(^{\circ}C)$, at least, a range of a value of V/G (mm^2 / K.min) including a desired defect region and/or a desired defect-free region is determined according to the $T_{\text{max}}(^{\circ}C)$, as recited in claim 10. (See lida et al. ('896) [lines 64-67, column 2 and lines 1-14, column 3]; and further Fujikawa et al. ('907) [lines 35-42, column 10])

Further applicants argue that lida et al. ('896) and Fujikawa et al. ('907) are not combinable because lida et al. ('896) teaches silicon single crystal produced by the Czochralski method. However, Fujikawa et al. ('907) teaches producing a compound single crystal by the vertical gradient freeze (VGF) solidifying method.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,754

Art Unit: 1722

This is not found persuasive because Fujikawa et al. ('907) clearly teaches preparation of a single crystal various methods have been proposed including Czochralski method and vertical gradient freeze (VGF) method (See lines 30-40, column 1) which both methods require a crucible, a seed, and heater and single crystal is grown by solidification of melted source material which is in contact with the seed crystal (See lines 42-49, column 1). Therefore, manufacturing method of a single crystal by Czochralski method and vertical gradient freeze (VGF) method have comparable technical functions. Thus, combined teaching of lida et al. ('896) and Fujikawa et al. ('907) clearly teach all the limitations of claims 10-27.

Therefore, rejections of claims 10-27 are maintained.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,754 Page 5

Art Unit: 1722

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seyed Masoud Malekzadeh whose telephone number is 571-272-6215. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday at 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published application may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance form a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700